

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,274	08/05/2003	Paul A. Farrar	1303.110US1	7065
7590 08/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Attn: David R. Cochran			KEBEDE, BROOK	
Schwegman, Li	undberg, Woessner & Kl	luth, P.A.		
P.O. Box 2938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402			2823	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		į, į				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/634,274	FARRAR, PAUL A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brook Kebede	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the second se	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicator of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayon of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of third y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 05 August 2003.					
<u> </u>	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice u	•	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fra a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A se priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-98)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	· —	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/634,274

Art Unit: 2823

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I, Claims 1-57, drawn to Method for Manufacturing a Semiconductor Device,

classified in class 438, subclass 584.

Group II, Claims 58-103, drawn to Semiconductor Device, classified in class 257,

subclass 758+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The

inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as

claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as

claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the

instant case, the device of Group II can be manufactured without subjecting the core conductive

layer to H<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. If applicant elects either Group I or Group II invention, this application contains claims

directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I:

Species I, drawn to the first embodiment, method for forming an electronic device.

Species II, drawn to the second embodiment, method for forming IC.

Art Unit: 2823

Species III, drawn to the third embodiment, method for forming memory device.

Species IV, drawn to the fourth embodiment, method for forming an electronic system.

## Group II:

Species I, drawn to the first embodiment, an electronic device.

Species II, drawn to the second embodiment, an IC device.

Species III, drawn to the third embodiment, memory device.

Species IV, drawn to the fourth embodiment, an electronic system.

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, non generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/634,274

Art Unit: 2823

Page 4

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

### Correspondence

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (571) 272-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brook Kebede Examiner Art Unit 2823

BK July 26, 2004 Brown Kelede